ID Number: TC01TerrenceMatthews

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

My view regarding the Tamworth town centre development would be to provide a purpose built and user friendly bus and coach station. And user friendly low cost town centre car parks with better road access and signage.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No Response

ID Number: TC02Fernando

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

Lots of opportunities and some great ideas

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

Cultural centre would be great. Maximise beauty of the river and castle grounds

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

ID Number: TC03Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

The Assembly Rooms "add on" is incongruous and looks malicious. It would also mean there would be no parking for motorcycles or disabled

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate
No Response
Gateway 2: Railway Station
No Response
Gateway 3: Lichfield Street
No Response
Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street
No Response
Gateway 5: Castle Grounds
No Response
Development Site 1: Upper Gungate
No Response
Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane
No Response
Development Site 3: Bus Depot
No Response
Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)
No Response
Development Site 5: Middle Entry
No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

Parking. Possibility of shuttle between R.Station and town centre. Empty retail units

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

The Gungate Precinct etc needs to be sorted before thinking up extra ideas just to cow-tow to pressure to "develop" to full potential

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No Response

ID Number: TC04Forest

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

Exciting and stimualating ideas and proposals. Needs to encourage future ideas and innovation

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

Town Centre SPD Response Report

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

I consider these proposals to be a start, there may be options need to keep flexible

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

Proposals in detail need to ensure that the design is to a high standard and respect Tamworth's important history, heritage and compliments adjacent buildings

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No Response

ID Number: TC05EnglishHeritage

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

Site 2 Spinning School Lane: This site is largely adjacent, but also includes a small part of the Victoria Road/Albert Road conservation area and as such any direct and indirect implications for the significance of the area will need to be carefully considered. Our records also show that the site includes a scheduled monument (Saxon defences listing entry 1006088) along the indicated course of Offa's Dyke. This record should be checked with the County Council's archaeologist and the completed Extensive Urban Survey. Potential implications for the scheduled site will need to be fully assessed prior to taking forward any proposal which might harm its significance.

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

Site 3 Lichfield Street: Given the location of this 'gateway' site within the town centre conservation area, any proposals would need to be informed by the conservation area appraisal and management plan, if available, and seek to enhance or better reveal the significance of the conservation area.

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

Site 4 Assembly Rooms: English Heritage is already involved in discussions with the Council regarding proposals for this site. We are generally supportive of proposals which will help to secure the future of the building and its ongoing use and management.

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

Site 6 Castle Holloway Car Park: This is a highly sensitive site due to its proximity to the scheduled Castle and grounds and the riverside views of the area, including the approach along the listed Lady Bridge. The Castle is one of the key assets of the town and its heritage and tourism offer. In this context and the potential for development to harm the significance of these assets, English Heritage is opposed to development on this site.

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

Site 7 Ankerside Centre: English Heritage regards this as a highly sensitive site due to its proximity to the scheduled area of the Castle and hence implications for its setting, and its location within the town centre conservation area as well as potential archaeological sensitivity. As such English Heritage has substantial concerns regarding further development in this area and would require early involvement if any proposal is pursued.

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

Site 8 Jewson Site: Potential implications for the setting of the Victoria/Albert Road conservation area would need to be fully taken into account.

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

Site 9 Gungate Precinct: English Heritage has already had some involvement in proposals for the redevelopment of this site because of its location within the town centre conservation area and the potential implications for the character of the townscape. The scale of any redevelopment for this site is a key consideration in order to ensure that the significance of the conservation area is sustained and enhanced, together with the overall character of the town centre. English Heritage would welcome continuing early involvement in any redevelopment proposals for this site.

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

We broadly agree with the issues identified, and in particular making the most of the Town Centre's assets and improving the public realm.

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

We are fully supportive of using the Town Centre's heritage assets and its history as a central part of promoting the future of town centre. The Extensive Urban Survey for the town offers a valuable evidence base in helping to take this forward in terms of informing future development as well as enthusing and harnessing interest in the town and its heritage. We would be happy to discuss further how the results and findings of the EUS can best be used to inform the SPD and future decision making.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC06JPinkett

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

-Ensure the vision projects at least fifty years into the future. What heritage are we leaving?-Is what is built manageable and easily maintained, eco friendly and in line with projected population needs.-The changing nature of retail, increasing use of on line shopping means we need less retail outlets and this need will continue to decline. Retail outlets in town centres will produce less council income. What will take its place?-Increasing the number of residents in the town centres is the best way of ensuring what retail, entertainment and leisure facilities are in demand. There should be more housing for those in the over fifty age group within the town, next the Alms houses is the most obvious place. Is there space for more housing or conversion from retail to housing around George Street and Anchorside or overlooking the Castle grounds.-Urban living is a sure way to reduce the need for cars but requires improving the transport links between the station and the town and better facilities for buses. -Links with Venturer using an electric bus service over Ladybridge or from the Jolly Sailor car park.- A more obvious signed pedestrian route to Venturer from the town centre.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No Response

ID Number: TC07 Environment Agency

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

This site is identified as potentially being improved as a gateway for the town. It is not understood what this would entail, however flood risk should be taken into account at the earliest stage of its consideration and design. It is understood that although this location is subject to flooding from the River Tame, it has a good road network which is above flood levels. The flooding is extensive and the flood plain is essential and substantial due to the size of the river. Flooding takes place on a frequent basis and the functional floodplain (FZ3b) outline is likely to be very similar to the Flood Zone 3a outline. The car park flooding at this location is manageable but would become less so if an increase in car parking activity took place. Ideally we would like to see all car parking areas restricted to a maximum depth of flooding of 200mm. In order to reduce flood risk we would like to see a reduction in car parking spaces where flooding is greater than 200mm. It must be ensured that any works to this area would not result in loss of flood plain storage. Discussion should be undertaken with the Environment Agency for any works in this location will need to take flood risk into account.

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

This area has been identified as a potenital site for leisure use. Our records show that this site is located within Flood Zone 2, and as such any new development in this area will require a Sequential Test to be applied to ascertain whether there are any other options for such a development in areas at lower risk of flooding. The NPPF acknowledges that the Sequential Test is not required for applications for change of use or minor development. Development in this location will require a site-specific flood risk assessment (FRA). to be undertaken as ensure that it does not pose a risk of flooding to itself or to third party land or property. The Environment Agency hold good quality modelling data for this location which should be used within the FRA.Reference should be made to Tables 2 and 3 of the NPPF's Technical Guide for land uses which are considered apprioriate for medium risk Flood Zone 2.

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

This site has also been identified as being potentially being developed for leisure use. The buildings here are all located outside of the floodplain and above flood levels with small areas to the rear also being shown to lie outside the floodplain. Despite this, any potential development to the rear would need to be supported by a FRA due to the close proximity to the river and its floodplain. This would need to be undertaken prior to any commitment to bring this site forward for development in order to ensure that flood risk was able to be managed. If upon completion of the FRA it is ascertained that development will be within the floodplain and it is still wished to bring this site forward, a sequential test will need to be undertaken to demonstrate that there are no other sites available for such a use which are at a lower risk of flooding. The FRA would need to demonstrate the site would be safe and not increase flood risk to third party land by the shifting of floodwaters. If development is proposed within the floodplain reference should be made to Tables 2 and 3 of the NPPF's Technical Guide for land uses which are considered apprioriate for that flood zone. We have good modelling data for this location so the FRA would be based upon topographical survey data only.

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC08 CPRE

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

We are surprised that, even in such a small document as this brochure, mention was not made of the area's designation as a "Conservation Area" with all that this implies. The important issue for the successful development of the sites highlighted – and for all the town centre – is the extent to which they each contribute in their layout, massing, scale and detailed design to the cumulative effect, historic and aesthetic, on the town centre character. This seems to have been the intention behind its status as a Conservation Area.

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC09 SCCBrotherton

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

Opportunity 2 identifies potential for residential development at Spinning School Lane. This development is noted in the 2012 Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment as having a potential to provide up to 12 dwellings based on an apartment scheme.

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

Opportunity 3 identifies potential for residential development at the Arriva Bus Depot, Aldergate. This development is noted in the 2012 Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment as having a potential to provide up to 40 dwellings and is likely to be apartments.

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

Town Centre SPD Response Report

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No Response

ID Number: TC10NaturalEngland

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

Town Centre SPD Response Report

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

17

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

Natural England approves of the consultation undertaken and especially of the identified opportunity for improvement of the public realm and green spaces. Green Infrastructure can play an invaluable role in improving quality of life, including physical and mental wellbeing, and provide essential ecosystem services such as water management, urban cooling and aid climate change adaptation. We believe that development provides an opportunity to both protect and enhance green infrastructure. This can be achieved through a variety of initiatives, including but not limited to the provision of new green spaces, the improvement of the interconnectivity of open spaces and the enhancement of watercourses. We believe that these opportunities for improvement should be considered during the development of the Supplemental Planning Document.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC11 NWBC

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

In relation to the Town Centre SPD, the Borough Council is keen to see where Tamworth will pursue housing developments. It is pleasing to see that the Tamworth is looking to use sites within the town centre for a range of uses. However more use could be made of mixed use sites so as to incorporate additional housing in to these schemes. This will of course maximise the number of houses that Tamworth will provide for its own needs but also help to create a vibrant town centre.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

ID Number: TC12DWells

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

Only in the redevelopment of the Assembly Rooms has any consideration been given to the historic content of the town and this is the asset together with the market which sets Tamworth apart from other towns of a similar size. Redevelopment is essential but to image that more modern shops will attract people into the town is not looking realistically at how the young now make their purchases or what older people do with their leisure. Where is parking provision in all this, the elderley who currently are the big spenders need to be able to access shops easily, which is why the out of town shopping does so well. The town centre should move forward by trying to enhance its history and providing housing (as demonstrated in the spinning schooll lane development by having people live within the town centre.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC13LesleyClarke

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

Town Centre SPD Response Report

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC14Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

The availability and choice of shops should also be addressed to ensure a good variety of shops to attract more shoppers to the Town Centre.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC15Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

Good Choice of sites

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response **Gateway 3: Lichfield Street** No Response Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street No Response **Gateway 5: Castle Grounds** No Response **Development Site 1: Upper Gungate** No Response **Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane** No Response **Development Site 3: Bus Depot** No Response **Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)** No Response **Development Site 5: Middle Entry** No Response **Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park** No Response **Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)** No Response **Development Site 8: Jewsons Site** No Response **Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct** No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC16Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC17Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

Town Centre SPD Response Report

Gateway 2: Railway Station
No Response
Gateway 3: Lichfield Street
No Response
Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street
No Response
Gateway 5: Castle Grounds
No Response
Development Site 1: Upper Gungate
No Response
Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane
No Response
Development Site 3: Bus Depot
No Response
Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)
No Response
Development Site 5: Middle Entry
No Response
Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park
No Response
Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)
No Response
Development Site 8: Jewsons Site
No Response
Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct
No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

It looks interesting, it would be good to get more shops, instead of travelling for shopping

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No Response

ID Number: TC18PaulaBagnall 1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites? No Response Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites Gateway 1: Upper Gungate No Response Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

The out lying areas around Tamworth need addressing as well, some areas are looking very scruffy lately but money never seems to be spent in these areas and that must look very unattractive to visitors to the town

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC19Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

The bus depot has to go! There must be a more suitable site somewhere in or near Tamworth. Compulsoty tidying-up of the some of the more scruffy shop frontages wouldn't go amiss either.

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

What is natural surveillance?

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC20Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

Already we are faced with grid locked roads lack of parking etc. You now want to invest residents council tax into tourism etc, street furniture? with the vandals and the culture we have in Tamworth, i wouldn't entre the town in the evenings and i live here.

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

I seemed to have missed the car parking that all and road network for all the visit's for all these new developments, Tamworth Centre is just not big enough.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC21AngelaBell

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

No Response

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

Having seen the consultation leaflet with development proposals, if all that did eventually materialise Tamworth would be vastly improved!

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC22SoniaFulford

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response **Development Site 1: Upper Gungate** No Response **Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane** No Response **Development Site 3: Bus Depot** No Response **Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)** No Response **Development Site 5: Middle Entry** No Response **Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park** No Response **Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)** No Response **Development Site 8: Jewsons Site** No Response **Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct** No Response 2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed? Yes 2a. If not why and what else should be included? No Response 3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre? Tamworth needs a broader variety of shops 4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC23Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

both retail developments hould be a priority to re-establish Tamworth Town centre

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC24Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC25Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC26AdrianHarrison

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

Not quite enough detail...'redefining'- what does that mean? Housing at Spinning School Lane...is the, now, car parking area where the Gungate Shopping Centre is planned? Car Parks - not enough for the general public as it is. Is this a way of losing a cost to the council by replacing them with better cost-driven uses?

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

Town Centre SPD Response Report

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC27ThobaniSilempa

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

I agree with the proposal.

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

Town Centre SPD Response Report

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC28CliveJackson

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

Tamworth town centre is relatively small and compact, does it need to have a number of artifical 'Quarters' defined , in particular the so-called Cultural Quarter which is a handful of disparate buildings. Implications for signage clutter and image. Generally supportive of the identified development opportunities and gateway sites. I would comment that with the development of sites 2,3,4,6,9 a significant amount of town centre car parking will be taken ,will there be replacement provision such as being accommodated within Site 6 redevelopment. Loss of such car parking (especially on sites 3 and 4) may have adverse impact on Assembly Rooms, Carnegie Centre, local churches ,restaurants etc. which do not have specific on site parking provision. Site 6 is key opportunity for 'Saxon Mill'/riverside commercial activity. With reference to Site 3 what is your definition of EcoHousing and why

should it be flagged for this site in particular. Welcome stronger links to Ventura Park , an additional gateway with potential for redefining is the access from Ventura Park through the underpass under the ring road.

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate
No Response
Gateway 2: Railway Station
No Response
Gateway 3: Lichfield Street
No Response
Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street
No Response
Gateway 5: Castle Grounds
No Response
Development Site 1: Upper Gungate
No Response
Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane
No Response
Development Site 3: Bus Depot
No Response
Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)
No Response
Development Site 5: Middle Entry
No Response
Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park
No Response
Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)
No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC29CoxheadStaffsPolice

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

The development opportunities seem to be correct except for the reservations I have already raised about the conflict between the development of residential housing and the extension of the licensing hours for the night time economy. The two issues clearly conflict with each other, yet both have been agreed to by local concillors. In respect of gateways, the real gateway work needed is from Ventura to the town centre, which does not appear to be referenced within the leaflet. There is reference to a gateway from the town to Ventura, but this appears to be the reverse of what is required as there is a need to draw people from Ventura to the town centre.

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

Town Centre SPD Response Report

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

The offer in respect of the night time economy seems to currently clash with the intention to build additional housing in Spinning School Lane. There is a real issue for me that licensing hours at the largest nightclub in the town have been granted until 04:30 hours when there are clear plans for residential development in the same street where the nightclub is based.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC30TeresaWakeling

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

it appears that you are considering removal of a lot of parking in the town centre. this would put pressure on the remaining car parks and would also mean having to walk further to get to the shops. Add this to the fact that you have to pay for the car parks and it is a sure fire way to get people to shop at Ventura Park or in other towns! The gateways do need some smartening up but not necessarily by wholesale building of offices and flats. improved signs, flower beds etc would improve the gateways. regeneration of the existing buildings in the town centre could provide offices and flats without the disruption

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate No Response Gateway 2: Railway Station No Response Gateway 3: Lichfield Street No Response Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street No Response Gateway 5: Castle Grounds No Response Development Site 1: Upper Gungate No Response do we really need more housing? car parking is valuable but leisure amenities would be a better alternative

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

the loss of public car parking so close to the Town Centre could be a bad thing as it would limit elderly and infirm access to the town

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

desperately need some regeneration here to spruce up the retail area

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

loss of car parking would be detrimental. part of the attraction of Tamworth Town Centre is the ease of parking close to the shops and amenities

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

could be better used to replace some of the parking you are considering removing from the town centre

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

much needed redevelopment area

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

all of these are great issues to tackle and should all be considered together as you are doing rather than piecemeal

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC31JasonHutton

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC32DawnPerry

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

Please do not look at the concrete monstrosities of the 60's and try and replicate them, look at how Tamworth was in the 40's & 50's - look back even further and blend our history sympathetically with the new and if you can get it right we could rename the Old Drill Hall after someone who made a difference not a mess (Phil Dix centre) As at least a fifth generation Tammy and from a family that has been in Staffordshire since the 17th Century, I would like to see our heritage restored

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

Not spinning achool lane but the railway station - have a look at the old photos and create something along those lines, let the past inform the future do not bury it.

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

How does a great glass and metal building enhance this grade II listed building can I suggest you visit Bath and see how they have maintained their heritage and history not murdered it!

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

Again look at the old photos bring back some of our hertiage take inspiration form St Edithas Church, be sympathetic to our future - we will attract more people if we do, they will not come to take photos of glass and metal however well put together when

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

Befroe you consider building residential properties in or near the town centre, you need to consider the people who are leaving clubs and pubs in the early hours of the morning - the noise polution and vandalism; ask the residents of the Alms houses or the people who live around the edge of the town about the nuisance that they cause. I live on the edge of town and i have had large flower pots taken from my front door and carried down the road with all the plants broken, only this morning i coudl not get out of my front door a flower pot that had sttod to the side of this door for 18 years was put in ront of the door so I could not open it. Cars in the street have been damaged there is litter everywhere and often vomit, and if we are really lucky someone may urinate in the entry! When you are considering building anything think about how these buildings may be sympathetic to the history of the town - following the finding of the Staffordshire hoard suddenly we rembered we are the seat of Mercia - it was a shame this was not considered in the 60's. Only recently the council whether borough or county wanted to sell the castle, assembly rooms and town hall, good job they did not where would they have displayed the hoard?

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC33RonSalt

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

introduce river side amenities, visit STRATFORD UPON AVON !

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC34KayWright

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

Railway station arrangement appears to work as it is with no further expenditure

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

Too close to the town centre, an extension of shopping availablility would be more appropriate. Attract public into town centre rather than Ventura etc. Town is losing its heart.

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC35GlenAustin

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

We should compliment ventura not compete with it, if I had friends to stay, where would I go for nice lunch, no decent cafe bars, what about coffee vars, and nice resturants at night, look at Little church lane that feels nice at night, again we have a lot of heritage, we have a lot of things we are famous for, why are we not exploring and indeed exploiting them. There is more to tamworth than Colin Grazier, What about Robert Peel founder of Police force, what about our Pigs?

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

Mixed view on this needs something doing to welcome people, will that scare them?

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

This is just dreadful we do not want desiger apartments why would you put that kind of thing in next to a park, and on edge of cultural area please re think The bus depot looks MUCH MUCH better than this, why not make a bus station in thsi area, so not clog up corportation st, or that evil victoria road bus stops,

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

What on earth are you doing here, absolutly we need to do more with this building the frontage is spectacular, its INSIDE where the work is needed, why not develop something on that car park instead not attach to the building again expoit our heritage not abuse it

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

This is lovely idea, needs this kind of development, perhaps tweak the building so fits in with surrounds, a modern building next to gatehouse and castle, but like idea of cafe/bar

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

Really like this very much, why not put your offices or housing with this (see comments on bus depot

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

We have a lot of heritage, we have a lot of things we are famous for, why are we not exploring and indeed exploiting them. There is more to tamworth than Colin Grazier, What about Robert Peel founder of Police force, what about our Pigs? Nice pork butcher and Tamworth Pig sausages, pies,

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC36Anon

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

Tamworth had some beautiful buildings and could have been a tourist magnet like Canterbury or York. Much of this was destroyed in the 60s but there is an opportunity here to put some "old style" back into the town. E.g. could middle entry be developed into an old style arcade or perhaps try to reconstruct the original facade what was destroyed years ago.

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Page 194

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

No Response

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

A regular low cost or free shuttle bus between the railway station, town centre and ventura would encourage more people to shop in both centres, would attract more to come to Tamworth and increase public transport use.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

No

ID Number: TC37RoseFreeman

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

No Response

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response

Gateway 3: Lichfield Street

No Response

Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street

No Response

Gateway 5: Castle Grounds

No Response

Development Site 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane

No Response

Development Site 3: Bus Depot

No Response

Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)

A strong cultural sector is key in the creation of a vibrant town and we support the potential to improve the Assembly Rooms and surrounding public realm. Theatre and performing arts are required elements of a sustainable community, providing social, cultural, environmental and economic benefits. Theatres make a major contribution to the vitality of town centres and their evening economy, and are significant elements of any town's cultural infrastructure. Under our national remit, please consult us on your plans to expand the Assembly rooms.

Development Site 5: Middle Entry

No Response

Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park

No Response

Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)

No Response

Development Site 8: Jewsons Site

No Response

Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct

No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

No Response

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

ID Number: TC38DPP

1. What are your views on the Development and key Gateway sites?

As discussed above we support the approach of allocating town centre sites only for bringing forward future retail development to meet the identified capacity. We support the inclusion of the Middle Entry site within the strategic sites for a potential future extension as outlined in red on the attached site title plan. As set out above, we also agree that the extension to Middle Entry should be brought forward as a second phase after the Gungate redevelopment. However, if the Gungate development experiences significant delays i.e. in the long term of the Local Plan, this should not preclude the possible redevelopment of the Middle Entry site if a viable opportunity arises.

Comments on Specific Gateway and Development Sites

Gateway 1: Upper Gungate

No Response

Gateway 2: Railway Station

No Response **Gateway 3: Lichfield Street** No Response Gateway 4: Bolebridge Street No Response **Gateway 5: Castle Grounds** No Response **Development Site 1: Upper Gungate** No Response **Development Site 2: Spinning School Lane** No Response **Development Site 3: Bus Depot** No Response **Development Site 4: Assembly Rooms Car Park (cultural quarter)** No Response **Development Site 5: Middle Entry** No Response **Development Site 6: Castle Holloway Car Park** No Response **Development Site 7: Ankerside Centre (rear)** No Response **Development Site 8: Jewsons Site** No Response **Development Site 9: Gungate Precinct** No Response

2. Are the outlined issues the correct ones to be addressed?

Yes

2a. If not why and what else should be included?

No Response

3. Do you have any further comments on the Town Centre?

We fully agree with the issues that have been identified to be addressed within the consultation leaflet. We particularly agree that there needs to be a focus on promoting the use of the town centre on an evening. Car parking strategies and public realm improvements are both key to achieving this. In terms of parking schemes such as the 'Alive at S' scheme in Newcastle could be introduced to encourage shops within the centre to open later with free parking available to compete with the out of centre retail parks. This could also have a knock on effect and benefit the restaurants and bars within the centre keeping people in the town centre creating a vibrant and active environment people want to visit. We will proVide further comments at the next stage of consultation for this document when detailed proposals for dealing with these issues are put forward.

4. Would you like to be involved in future consultation?

Yes

This page is intentionally left blank